UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,956	05/01/2007	Thorsten Lohmar	P19248-US1	8416
27045 ERICSSON IN	7590 01/22/201 C.	EXAMINER		
6300 LEGACY M/S EVR 1-C-		RECEK, JASON D		
PLANO, TX 75		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2442	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/597,956	LOHMAR ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JASON RECEK	2442		

	JASON RECEK	2442	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 January 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following replication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Claperiods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed v	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on tension and the corresponding amount on tension and the correct of the c	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENIAN APPEAR 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	a whomas the last received have	=20 (b (1 b	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
appeal; and/or	or form for appear by materially rec	adoning of online in ying th	10 100000 101
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	· · ·	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16 and 22-27</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	before or on the date of filing a No sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> t or other evidence is	be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	rercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ea.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (I 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Asad M Nawaz/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2455	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Adding the limitation "the broadcaster" requires further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant asserts that Tatsumi does not teach a non bidirectional communication path (pg. 11-12). This is not persuasive. Tatsumi clearly discloses both a broadcast/downlink only path and a bidirectional communication path (Fig. 1, paragraph 19). Applicant also asserts Takagi does not disclose "the broadcaster providing sufficient information to handle post processing transactions" (pg. 12-13). Takagi was relied upon for its teaching of a proxy server. In the Office Action dated 11/09/09 it is clear that Tatsumi was relied upon for teaching this limitation, see pg. 5-6 of that Office Action.